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LCD codes and LCP of codes from units of group rings

Mehmet E. KOROGLU*1

Abstract

A linear code with complementary dual (LCD) is a linear code such that $C \cap C^\perp = \{0\}$. LCD codes are of great importance due to their wide range of applications in consumer electronics, storage systems and cryptography. Group rings have a rich source of units. Also the well-known structural linear codes such as cyclic codes are within the family of group ring codes. Thus, group rings offer an affluent source for structural codes that may lead to linear codes with good properties. In this work, we derive a condition for codes obtained from units of group rings to be LCD. We show that a special decomposition of group rings meet the LCD condition. We also proposed a construction of linear complementary pair (LCP) of codes.
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1. INTRODUCTION

A linear code is called a linear code with complementary-dual (for short LCD) if $C \cap C^\perp = \{0\}$. LCD codes were introduced by Massey in 1992 (see [1]). LCD codes have many applications such as in cryptography, communication systems, storage systems and consumer electronics. It is also shown that LCD codes provide an optimum linear coding solution for binary adder channel and asymptotically good LCD codes exist [1]. In [2], it was proved that LCD codes meet the asymptotic Gilbert-Varshamov bound. Carlet et al. have shown that LCD codes are used in counter measure to passive and active side channel analyses on embedded crypto-systems [3].

Group rings have a rich source of units. Also the well-known structural linear codes such as cyclic codes are within the family of group ring codes. Thus, group rings offer an affluent source for structural codes that may lead to linear codes with good properties.

In this study, we provide a condition for linear codes obtained from units of group rings to be LCD. Further, we give a special decomposition of group rings with two summands. In this obtained decomposition, we have shown that each component is treated as a linear code which is the
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dual of the other, and that the LCD condition is satisfied.

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we present some definitions and basic results about linear codes and group rings. In Section 3, we remind some basics of unit derived codes from group rings. In Section 4, we give a condition for linear codes obtained from units of group rings to be LCD. In Section 5, we show that a special decomposition of group rings meet LCD condition by considering its components as linear codes. In Section 6, we proposed a construction of linear complementary pair (LCP) of codes. The last section concludes the paper.

2. PRELIMINARIES

Let \( q \) be a prime power and \( \mathbb{F}_q \) be the finite field with \( q \) elements. An \([n,k]\) linear code \( C \) of length \( n \) over \( \mathbb{F}_q \) is a \( k \)-dimensional subspace of the vector space \( \mathbb{F}_q^n \). The elements of \( C \) are of the form \( \{c_0, c_1, \ldots, c_{n-1}\} \) and called codewords. The Hamming weight of any \( c \in C \) is the number of nonzero coordinates of \( c \) and denoted by \( w(c) \). The minimum distance of \( C \) is defined as \( d = \min \{w(c) | 0 \neq c \in C \} \).

Let \( \langle x, y \rangle = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} x_i y_i \) be the Euclidean inner product of the vectors \( x \) and \( y \in \mathbb{F}_q^n \) and \( C \) be a code of length \( n \) over \( \mathbb{F}_q \). Then the Euclidean dual code of \( C \) is defined to be
\[
C^\perp = \{x \in \mathbb{F}_q^n : \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} x_i y_i = 0, \forall y \in C \}.
\]

Let \( R \) be a ring and \( G \) be a group. Then the set of all linear combinations in the form \( \omega = \sum_{g \in G} \alpha_g g \) is a ring with respect to the following binary operations:

**Definition 2.1.** (see [4]) A non-zero element \( u \in RG \) is a unit if and only if there exists a non-zero \( v \in RG \) such that \( uv = 1 \).

**Definition 2.2.** (see [4]) The transpose of an element \( u = \sum_{g \in G} \alpha_g g \) in \( RG \) is \( u^T = \sum_{g \in G} \alpha_g g^{-1} \) or equivalently \( u^T = \sum_{g \in G} \alpha_g g \).

**Definition 2.3.** (see [4]) The support of a given element \( u = \sum_{g \in G} \alpha_g g \in RG \) is the set \( \text{supp}(u) = \{g \in G | \alpha_g \neq 0 \} \).

**Example 2.1.** Let \( G \) be the cyclic group \( C_5 = \langle g \rangle = \{1, g, g^2, g^3, g^4 \} \) and \( R \) be the finite field \( \mathbb{Z}_5 = \{0, 1, 2, 3, 4 \} \). Then the transpose of the element \( u = 3 + g + 2g^2 \in \mathbb{Z}_5 C_5 \) is \( u^T = 3 + 2g + g^3 \). The support of \( u \) is \( \text{supp}(u) = \{1, g, g^2 \} \).

The Hamming weight of an element \( u \in RG \) is the number of non-zero coefficient of group elements in its support i.e., \( w(u) = \|\text{supp}(u)\| \).

**Example 2.2.** The Hamming weight of the element \( u = 3 + g + 2g^2 \in \mathbb{Z}_5 C_5 \) is 3.

The minimum weight of a submodule \( M \) in \( RG \) is \( w(M) = \min \{|\text{supp}(u)| | 0 \neq u \in M \} \).

The map
\[
\theta : RG \to R^n, \quad \theta(\sum_{g \in G} \alpha_g g) = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \ldots, \alpha_n)
\]
is a ring isomorphism from \( RG \) to \( R^n \). Thus, every element in \( RG \) can be considered as an \( n \)-tuple in \( R^n \).
Example 2.3. For instance, the element
\[ u = 3 + g + 2g^2 \in \mathbb{Z}_2C_3 \] can be mapped as
\[ \theta(u) = (3, 1, 2). \]

3. OVERVIEW OF UNIT DERIVED CODES FROM GROUP RINGS

In this section, we remind some basics of unit derived codes obtained from group rings. For further and detailed information readers may refer to [5].

Definition 3.1. Let \( u \) be a unit element in \( RG \), i.e., \( uv = vu = 1 \) for some non-zero \( v \in RG \). Let \( W \) be a submodule of \( RG \) with basis of group elements \( S \subseteq G \). Then a unit derived code is
\[ C = \{ ux \mid x \in W \}. \]

In the following, we give an example of unit derived codes from group ring \( \mathbb{Z}_2C_4 \).

Example 3.1. Let \( G \) be the cyclic group \( C_4 = \langle g \rangle = \{1, g, g^2, g^3\} \) and \( R \) be the finite field \( \mathbb{Z}_2 \). Then
\[ Z_2C_4 = \begin{cases} 0,1, g, g^2, g^3 \mapsto 1+g+g^2, g+g^2, 1+g+g^2, g+g^2, \end{cases} \]
and the set of units of \( Z_2C_4 \) is
\[ U(Z_2C_4) = \begin{cases} 1, g, g^2, g^3, 1+g+g^2, \end{cases} \]

If we take \( u = 1+g+g^2 \), then \( u^{-1} = 1+g^2+g^3 \) and \( (u^{-1})^2 = 1+g+g^2 \). For the submodule \( W = \{1, g\} \) we have a \([4,2,2]_2\) unit derived code
\[ C = \{ ux \mid x \in W \} \]
\[ = \{ 0,1, g, g^2, g+g^2+g^3, 1+g^3 \} \]
and
\[ \theta(C) = \{0000, 1110, 0111, 1001\}. \]

In Theorem 3.1 we give the relation between a unit derived code and its dual.

Theorem 3.1. (see [5]) Let \( W \) be a submodule with basis of group elements \( S \subseteq G \) and \( W^\perp \) be the submodule with the basis \( G - S \). Let \( u \in RG \) be a unit such that \( uu^{-1} = u^{-1}u = 1 \). Then the dual code of \( C = \{ xu \mid x \in W \} \) is
\[ C^\perp = \{ x(u^{-1})^T \mid x \in W^\perp \}. \]

4. LCD CODES FROM GROUP RINGS

In this section, we provide a condition for linear codes obtained from units of group rings to be LCD.

In Theorem 4.1 we give a condition for unit derived codes to be LCD.

Theorem 4.1. Let \( R \) be a ring and \( G = \{g_1, g_2, \ldots, g_n\} \) be a cyclic group of order \( n \). Also let \( S \subseteq G \) such that \( W = \langle S \rangle \) and \( W^\perp = \langle G-S \rangle \) be \( R \)-submodules of the group ring \( RG \). For a given unit element \( u \in RG \) let the unit derived code and its dual be \( C = \{ ux \mid x \in W \} \) and
\[ C^\perp = \{ (u^{-1})^T y \mid x \in W^\perp \} \]
respectively. Then \( C \cap C^\perp = \{0\} \) if and only if \( u^{-1} = u^T \).

Proof: Let \( u^{-1} = u^T \) and assume that \( C \cap C^\perp \neq \{0\} \). Then, there exists at least one element \( 0 \neq z \in C \cap C^\perp \) such that \( z = ux = (u^{-1})^T y \). Since \( u^{-1} = u^T \) we have
\[ z = y \Rightarrow ux = uy. \]
Hence, we have \( 0 \neq x = y \). This is a contradiction, because \( W \cap W^\perp = \{0\} \).

On the other hand, let \( C \cap C^\perp = \{0\} \) be. Then, for \( 0 \neq x \in W \), \( 0 \neq y \in W^\perp \) there exists \( ux \in C \), \( (u^{-1})^T y \in C^\perp \). If \( ux \) and \( (u^{-1})^T y \in C \cap C^\perp \), then
\[ ux = (u^{-1})^T y = 0. \]
Therefore, it can be seen that
uxy = 0 and \((u^{-1})^T xy = 0\). This means that
\[uxy = (u^{-1})^T xy = xy (u - (u^{-1})^T) = 0.\] As a result,
\[u - (u^{-1})^T = 0\] and then \(u = (u^{-1})^T\). By taking the transpose of both sides we can get \(u^{-1} = u^T\).

In Example 4.1, we provide an example of LCD unit derived codes.

**Example 4.1.** Let \(G\) be the cyclic group \(C_6 = \langle g \rangle = \{1, g, g^2, g^3, g^4, g^5\}\) and \(R\) be the finite field \(\mathbb{Z}_2 = \{0, 1\}\). If we take the unit element \(u = 1 + g + g^2 + g^4 + g^5 \in \mathbb{F}_2 C_6\), then \(u^T = u = u^{-1}\). For the submodule \(W = \{(1, g^2, g^4)\}\)
\[= \{0, 1, g^2, 1 + g^2, g^4, 1 + g^4, g^2 + g^4, 1 + g^2 + g^4\},\]
we have a \([6, 3, 2]\) unit derived code
\[C = \{ux | x \in W\}\]
\[= \{0, 1 + g^2, 1 + g^4, g^2 + g^4, 1 + g^2 + g^4\}\]
and
\[\theta(C) = \{000000, 0101000, 1000100, 1111011, 1110111, 0100101\}.

For
\[W^\perp = \{(g, g^3, g^5)\}\]
\[= \{0, g, g^3, g + g^3, g^5, g + g^5\}\]
the dual code \(C^\perp\) is
\[C^\perp = \{(u^{-1})^T x | x \in W^\perp\}\]
\[= \{0, 1 + g^2, 1 + g^4, g^2 + g^4, 1 + g^2 + g^4\}\]
and
\[\theta(C^\perp) = \{000000, 101000, 1000100, 0100101, 0111111, 1111011\}.

Notice that \(C \cap C^\perp = \{000000\}\) and so \(C\) is an LCD code.

**5. LCD CODES FROM A DECOMPOSITION OF GROUP RINGS**

In this section, we give a decomposition of group rings with two summands and then we have shown that each summand is treated as a linear code which is the dual of the other, and that the LCD condition is satisfied.

Let \(RG\) be a group ring and \(\alpha = \sum_{g \in G} \alpha_g g \in RG\)
then the involution of the element \(\alpha\) is defined as
\[\alpha^* = \sum_{g \in G} \alpha_g^{-1} g \in R.
\]

**Theorem 5.1.** Let \(RG\) be a group ring. Define the sets
\[RG^+ = \left\{ \alpha = \sum_{g \in G} \alpha_g g | \alpha^* = \alpha \right\}\]
and
\[RG^- = \left\{ \alpha = \sum_{g \in G} \alpha_g g | \alpha^* = -\alpha \right\}\]
Then \(RG = RG^+ \oplus RG^-\) and \(RG^+ \cap RG^- = \{0\}\). Further, for all \(x \in RG^+\) and \(y \in RG^-\) \((x, y) = 0\).

**Proof:** Let \(\alpha = \sum_{g \in G} \alpha_g g\) and \(\beta = \sum_{g \in G} \beta_g g \in RG^+\).
Since \((\alpha + \beta)^* = \alpha^* + \beta^* = \alpha + \beta\) and \((\alpha\beta)^* = \alpha^* \beta^*\) we have \(\alpha + \beta\) and \(\alpha\beta \in RG^+\).
This shows that the set \( RG^* \) is a subring of \( RG \). Also, for \( \alpha = \sum_{g \in G} \alpha_g g \in RG^* \cap RG^- \) we have

\[
\alpha^* = -\alpha \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{g \in G} \alpha_g g = -\sum_{g \in G} \alpha_g g
\]

\[
\Rightarrow \alpha_g = -\alpha_g \quad (\forall g \in G).
\]

This is possible only when \( \alpha = \sum_{g \in G} \alpha_g g = 0 \). This shows that \( RG^* \cap RG^- = \{0\} \).

On the other hand, let \( x \in RG^* \) and \( y \in RG^- \). Since \( RG = RG^* \oplus RG^- \) and \( RG^* \cap RG^- = \{0\} \) we have \( \langle x, y \rangle = 0 \).

**Corollary 5.1.** Let \( C = RG^* \) and \( C^\perp = RG^- \). Then \( C \) is an LCD code.

**Example 5.1.** Let \( R \) be the ternary field \( Z_3 = \{0,1,2\} \) and \( G \) be the cyclic group \( C_3 = \{1,g,g^2\} \) of order 3. Then we have the group ring

\[
RG = \left\{0,1,2,2g^3,1+g,2+g,1+2g,2+2g,1+g^2,2+g^2, \right.
\]

\[
\left. g+g^2,1+g+g^2,2+g+g^2,2g+g^2,1+2g^2,2+2g+2g^2, \right.
\]

\[
\left. 1+g+2g^2,1+2g+2g^2,1+g+2g^2,2+2g+2g^2 \right\}.
\]

From Theorem 5.1, we also have

\[
RG^* = \left\{0,1,2,1+g+g^2,2+g+g^2, \right. \]

\[
\left. 2g+g^2,1+2g+2g^2,2+2g+2g^2 \right\}
\]

\[
RG^- = \{0,2g+g^2, g+2g^2 \}
\]

and

\[
\theta(RG^*) = \{000,010,020,100,111,201,022,122,222\}
\]

\[
= C
\]

\[
\theta(RG^-) = \{000,021,012\}
\]

\[
= C^\perp.
\]

It can be easily seen that the dual code of \( C \) is \( C^\perp \) and \( C \cap C^\perp = \{0\} \). This shows that \( C \) is LCD.

### 6. LCP OF CODES FROM UNIT OF GROUP RINGS

Recently, Carlet et al., have generalized the concept of LCD codes to the linear complementary pair (LCP) of codes [6]. Let \( C \) and \( \bar{C} \) be two linear codes of length \( n \) over finite field \( F_q \). The pair \( (C, \bar{C}) \) is called a linear complementary pair (LCP) of codes if \( C \cap \bar{C} = \{0\} \) or equivalently \( F_q^* = C \oplus \bar{C} \). Notice that, if \( \bar{C} = C^\perp \), then \( C \) is an LCD code [6].

Let \( R \) be a ring and \( G = \{g_1, g_2, \ldots, g_n\} \) be a group. For the unit element \( u \in RG \) there exists \( u^{-1} \in RG \) such that \( uu^{-1} = u^{-1}u = 1 \). For any \( u = \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i g_i \in RG \), where \( a_i \in R \) and \( g_i \in G \), define \( \varphi : RG \to M_n(R) \) such that

\[
\varphi(u) = \begin{pmatrix}
a_{g_1^{-1}g_1} & a_{g_1^{-1}g_2} & \cdots & a_{g_1^{-1}g_n} \\
a_{g_2^{-1}g_1} & a_{g_2^{-1}g_2} & \cdots & a_{g_2^{-1}g_n} \\
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
a_{g_n^{-1}g_1} & a_{g_n^{-1}g_2} & \cdots & a_{g_n^{-1}g_n}
\end{pmatrix}.
\]

Clearly \( \varphi \) is an isomorphism from \( RG \) to a subset of \( M_n(R) \). This enables us to write

\[
\varphi(u) \varphi(u^{-1}) = I_n.
\]

Let \( \varphi(u) = U = \begin{pmatrix} A \\ B \end{pmatrix} \) and

\[
\varphi(u^{-1}) = U^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} E & D \end{pmatrix},
\]

where \( A, B, E, D \) are matrices of the form \( k \times n, (n-k) \times n, n \times k \) and \( n \times (n-k) \) respectively. Since \( \varphi(u) \varphi(u^{-1}) = I_n \) we have

\[
\begin{pmatrix} A \\ B \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} E & D \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} AE & AD \\ BE & BD \end{pmatrix} = I_n.
\]

Thus
AD = k and BE = 0_{n-k}, where 0_k is k \times k all zero matrix.

The linear code C of dimension k, generated by the matrix A is the unit derived code \( C = \{ u \mid x \in W \} \), where \( S \subseteq G \) and \( W = \langle S \rangle \). The dual code \( C^\perp \) is the linear code generated by the matrix \( D^\perp \) with dimension \((n-k)\). The dual code can be considered as the submodule \( C^\perp = \left\{ \left( u^{-1} \right)^T y \mid y \in W^\perp \right\} \), where \( W^\perp = \langle G - S \rangle \).

**Theorem 6.1.** Let \( u, u^{-1} \in RG, \; uu^{-1} = u^{-1}u = 1 \) and \( \varphi(u) = \begin{pmatrix} A \\ B \end{pmatrix} \) and \( \varphi(u^{-1}) = \begin{pmatrix} E \\ D \end{pmatrix} \), where \( A, B, E, D \) are matrices of the form \( k \times n, (n-k) \times n, n \times k \) and \( n \times (n-k) \) respectively. Further, let \( C \) and \( \overline{C} \) be codes generated by the matrices \( A \) and \( B \) of dimension \( k \) and \( n-k \), respectively. Then the pair \((C, \overline{C})\) is an LCP of codes.

**Proof:** We know that \( C \) and \( \overline{C} \) are linear codes of dimension \( k \) and \( n-k \) respectively. It is obvious from the generator matrices of \( C \) and \( \overline{C} \) we have \( C \cap \overline{C} = \{0\} \). This means that \( C \oplus \overline{C} \) has dimension \( n \) and so we get \( F_n^q = C \oplus \overline{C} \). This shows that the pair \((C, \overline{C})\) of codes is an LCP of codes.

**Corollary 6.1.** The pair of linear codes \((C^\perp, \overline{C}^\perp)\) is also an LCP of codes.

**Example 6.1.** Let \( u = g + g^2 + g^6 + g^7 + g^8 \in \mathbb{Z}_2C_g \) where \( C_g \) is the cyclic group of order 9. Then, \( u^{-1} = 1 + g^2 + g^5 \) and \( \varphi(u) = \text{circ}(0,1,1,0,0,0,1,1,1) \), where \( \text{circ}(.) \) is the circulant matrix with the first row \( (0,1,1,0,0,0,1,1,1) \) and \( \varphi(u^{-1}) = \text{circ}(1,0,1,0,0,1,0,0,0) \).

Then \( \varphi(u)\varphi(u^{-1}) = I_g = \begin{pmatrix} AE & AD \\ BE & BD \end{pmatrix} \), where

\[
A = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad B = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}
\]

Then \( C \) is a \([9, 4, 3]\) code and \( \overline{C} \) is a \([9, 4, 3]\) code. Thus, the pair \((C, \overline{C})\) of codes is an LCP of codes.

**7. CONCLUSION AND FUTURE REMARKS**

In this paper, we give a condition for linear codes obtained from units of group rings to be LCD. Additionally, we give a special decomposition of group rings with two summands. Then we have shown that each component of the obtained decomposition is treated as a linear code, which is the dual of the other, and that the LCD condition is satisfied. Further, we have proposed a construction method to obtain LCP of codes. The results obtained are also valid for non-commutative group rings. This provides a very convenient way to search for parameters of new LCD codes and LCP of codes.
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